



Guidance material for using Al-assisted recruitment tools

Al-assisted and automated technologies in APS recruitment



Table of contents

Myth-busting Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools	3
Al and automation in recruitment	3
Should I use AI in my agency's recruitment process?	4
Procuring Al-assisted and automated tools: choosing the right provider	5
Using AI-assisted and automated tools	7
Summary	8

Myth-busting Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools

Myth 1: All Al-assisted and automated tools on the market have been thoroughly tested.

Artificial Intelligence-assisted (Al-assisted) and automated recruitment technologies have been tested in different ways and to varying degrees. There are limited national and international guidelines on the development of Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools, meaning that the quality of Al-assisted assessments can vary significantly.

Myth 2: Al-assisted tools are guaranteed to be completely unbiased.

Al can reproduce the bias of the developers. For example, developers may only test the Al on certain population demographics, meaning that the tool may disadvantage diversity cohorts. Al-assisted tools can also contain algorithmic bias that does not reflect the true suitability of a candidate for the role. For example, if an Al is based on a dataset of interviews where all successful candidates cough during the interview, the Al may determine that coughing makes a candidate suitable for the position.

Myth 3: Agencies are not accountable for the decisions that Al makes.

Agencies are accountable for ensuring their recruitment processes follow the merit principle as outlined in section 10A of the *Public Service Act 1999* (Public Service Act). Agencies must be able to demonstrate the effectiveness of the Al-assisted tool in assessing candidates in accordance with criteria relevant to the position description. Those criteria must reflect the work-related qualities genuinely required to perform the relevant duties of the role to meet the merit principle.

Al and automation in recruitment

Advances in technology and a tightening labour market have led Australian Public Sector (APS) agencies to increasingly utilise Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools in their recruitment processes. For the purposes of this guidance material 'Al-assisted and automated tools' will refer to any recruitment tools that aim to minimise or remove direct human input. Types of Al-assisted and automated tools include resume scanners, video interviews or psychometric tests which are reviewed by Al or an automated process.

Of 66 APS agencies surveyed in 2022, 15 (23%) responded that they had used AI-assisted and automated tools in their recruitment processes in the last 12 months. As AI-assisted and automated recruitment tools are expected to become more prevalent in the future, providing guidance on how best to use these tools is crucial in order to ensure that recruitment processes continue to meet APS employment principles, particularly merit.

Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools may enable agencies to increase the efficiency of their recruitment processes whilst mitigating some forms of recruitment bias. However, there are also a number of risks associated

Guidance material for using Al-assisted and automated technologies in public sector recruitment

with Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools which may impact on the ultimate fairness and effectiveness of a recruitment process.

In particular, incorrect or negligent use of Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools can impede the operation of a merit-based recruitment process. Agencies should exercise care when engaging these tools, in order to uphold the merit principle. There should be a clear demonstrated connection between the candidate's qualities being assessed and the qualities required to perform the duties of the job.

This guidance material advises agencies on engaging Al-assisted and automated tools to:

- mitigate risks
- · maximise benefits; and
- ensure the merit principle outlined in section 10A of the Public Service Act is upheld.

Should I use AI in my agency's recruitment process?

Understanding AI

Al tools are designed to minimise human input by replicating human-levels of decision-making. Commonly used Al-assisted recruitment tools use machine learning models. Supervised machine learning models are trained on data that has been assigned value, i.e. 'labelled data' (for example, positive and negative values). The Al stores this as knowledge and then interprets new information based on the assigned values in the base data. An 'algorithm' is the unique way in which information is processed and determines the way in which the Al makes decisions.

Understanding how Al works can take years of training and expertise. Recruiters should note the risks outlined below, as well as the benefits associated with utilising Al-assisted recruitment tools.

Benefits of Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools

The use of AI in recruitment processes has the potential to:

- Substantially reduce the time and resources required to complete a recruitment process.
- Reduce various types of bias associated with traditional recruitment tools.
- Provide more consistent assessments of all candidates across the entire recruitment process.
- Enhance the candidate's experience of the recruitment process.

Among APS agencies that used AI in recruitment, the reduction in time spent on recruitment processes was the most common benefit cited for using these tools.

Risks of Al-assisted and automated recruitment tools

If used incorrectly, Al-assisted recruitment processes may:

- Assess candidates on something other than merit due to poorly developed algorithms or poor-quality data.
- Raise ethical and legal concerns on issues such as transparency and data privacy.
- Lead to automation bias, when recruitment teams over-rely on automated decisions and disregard information which contradicts AI results.
- Produce biased results due to:
 - Poor quality data, for example, having AI trained on data which excludes or underrepresents
 particular demographic groups. As representative data reflects societal inequalities, AI may reproduce
 this inequality when making decisions.
 - Algorithms reflecting the biases of their developers.
- Cause statistical bias when characteristics of candidates such as socio-economic background are erroneously deemed as indicative of candidate success.

Among APS agencies that discontinued use of AI tools, most indicated 'tools not working as intended' as the primary reason.

Procuring Al-assisted and automated tools: choosing the right provider

Please take into account the following considerations when comparing an Al-assisted or automated tool, or provider.

Compare providers

Questions to consider:

- a. Have you engaged with several prospective AI providers?
- b. How does the information from each provider compare to others on the market?

It is important that you engage with **several Al providers** to **compare the information** you are given from each, to find an Al-assisted tool which has been thoroughly tested and is appropriate for the recruitment process in question. You have to be satisfied that this selection technique will reliably assess the particular candidate qualities you are wanting to assess.

Note that much of the immediately accessible research on Al-assisted tools is sponsored by the provider themselves. Such research should be carefully reviewed and scrutinised. You should also consider reviewing research from independent sources, such as peer-reviewed academic articles or independent reviews from government jurisdictions.

Consider the transparency of a provider

Questions to consider:

- a. Does the Al-assisted tool provider whose services are being considered have an in-depth guide available which explains the criteria being assessed?
- b. Why is that criteria being assessed for the role? How does the AI make decisions?

Outsourcing elements of the recruitment process also means outsourcing parts of the decision-making process. You must therefore ensure that you understand how recruitment decisions are being made and are confident the selection techniques selected are assessing on the basis of merit and not some other criteria. Although outsourced, your agency remains responsible for ensuring the recruitment is compliant with merit, as defined in the Public Service Act.

Assess the effectiveness of the tool/s

Questions to consider:

- a. Can the provider give long-term evidence, over a variety of contexts, that the AI-assisted or automated tool measures what it claims to measure?
- b. Does this translate to long-term success, and how does the provider measure success?

Al-assisted tools have emerged from recent technological innovation and some tools may not have been scientifically validated to the same extent as more traditional recruitment techniques.

Consider whether your AI provider can provide long-term evidence that the AI-assisted tool measures accurately for the key criteria you aim to assess. Agencies should consider, particularly with first and early use, developing an internal monitoring and evaluation process to assess the effectiveness of your AI-assisted tools, including whether your tools may disadvantage diversity cohorts.

Assess how the tool/s address the risk of bias

Questions to consider:

a. Has the tool been tested with various diversity cohorts in an Australian audience? For example, has it been tested with people with disability? First Nations people?

All is trained on a combination of labels and data. If the All developers are biased, and/or if the data is unrepresentative, the All will produce biased results. If the tool has not been tested on certain cohorts, these cohorts may be disadvantaged by the All algorithms.

Understand how the tool has been tested on diversity groups, and seek information on whether diversity groups are disadvantaged by the tool.

Ensure that the tool/s can be tailored

a. To what extent can the tool be tailored to the specific needs of your agency and the role being advertised?

It is important that an AI-assisted or automated tool assesses the relevant criteria for a particular position. Consider the extent that you can collaborate with the provider to create a tailored assessment system that measures the relevant criteria. It is also important that the AI-assisted or automated tools are applicable to a public-sector context and meets APS standards.

Investigate the privacy policies of the tool/s

a. Does the provider have an appropriate privacy policy to protect candidate's data?

Al-assisted and automated tools can collect and store data in ways that typical recruitment methods cannot. It is important to ensure the ethical use of candidates' data. It is important that you have read and understood the applicable privacy policy and are satisfied they comply with requirements of the *Privacy Act 1988*.

Using Al-assisted and automated tools

Please take into account the following considerations when using an Al-assisted or automated tool in your recruitment.

In-house expertise

a. Does your team have access to the skills needed to fully answer the questions under the 'procuring Alassisted and automated tools' section?

When using Al-assisted tools, it is recommended that agencies have in-house subject matter expertise. A data science background may be required to fully understand how the Al has been trained, the data the Al has been trained on, and how the Al makes decisions. A background in organisational psychology may be needed to assess whether the criteria is relevant to the vacant position. Also consider hiring a third party to evaluate the Al-assisted or automated tools used by your agency.

Educate staff on the risk of bias

a. Does everyone involved in the recruitment process have a basic understanding of the risks of AI?

All can produce biased recruitment decisions. For example, All can reproduce the bias of developers if the tool has only been tested on a limited demographic range. All can also contain algorithmic bias, such as picking up on similarities between successful candidates that don't necessarily correlate with job performance.

Educate staff on the risks of these technologies to help make sure employees don't over-rely on automated processes to make decisions. Education may also encourage staff to take steps to mitigate biased decisions.

Minimise the use of hard screening decisions

a. Have you considered using AI as one part of a holistic assessment process?

Minimise the use of hard screening decisions, where candidates are screened out on the outcomes of a single Alassisted assessment. Using a number of different tools, including Al-assisted and automated tools, as well as more traditional tools to assess candidates and give a holistic ranking will further reduce the risks of Al or automation. Having humans actively involved in decisions at different stages to review the Al's recruitment choices is beneficial.

Protect candidates' right to privacy

a. How are candidates' information stored?

Before using an Al-assisted tool, ensure candidate consent is obtained and that storage and collection of data is minimised to necessary information and compliant with privacy obligations.

Evaluate

a. How are you evaluating the effectiveness of the Al-assisted or automated tool?

Al-assisted and automated tools should be evaluated to ensure they are working effectively. When a new Al-assisted recruitment tool is introduced to a recruitment process, it can be run alongside previous tools to determine if the tool is producing reliable outcomes. Consider evaluating the long-term effectiveness of the tool in determining suitable candidates.

Summary

If you are considering using AI-assisted tools in your next recruitment round, consider the following key questions:

- Have I researched a variety of AI providers?
- How transparent are the Al-assisted tools that I am planning to use?
- Does the Al-assisted tool effectively assess the criteria that I hope to measure?
- Has the Al-assisted tool been tested with diverse cohorts?
- How can I support my staff to use AI effectively?
- How can I use AI in a way that minimises the risks of AI while maximising the benefits?
- Is the collection of information compliant with privacy obligations?

If you have questions regarding the application of the merit in recruitment, please contact the office of the Merit Protection Commissioner at review@mpc.gov.au.

Acknowledgement

The Merit Protection Commissioner would like to thank each of the 2022 graduates of Australian Public Service Commission's graduate program, for all their hard work in researching and developing this guidance.